arXiv:1211.6331v1 [math-ph] 27 Nov 2012

FIAN-TD-2012-39

Generalized oscillator representations for Calogero Hamiltonians

I.V. Tyutin[1] and B.L. Voronov[2]

Department of Theoretical Physics, P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute,
Leninsky prospect 53, Moscow, 119991, Russia

Abstract

This paper is a natural continuation of the previous paper J.Phys. A: Math.Theor. 44 (2011) 425204, arXiv:0907.1736 [quant-ph] where oscillator representations for nonnegative Calogero Hamiltonians with coupling constant $\alpha \geq -1/4$ were constructed. Here, we present generalized oscillator representations for all Calogero Hamiltonians with $\alpha \geq -1/4$.These representations are generally highly nonunique, but there exists an optimum representation for each Hamiltonian.

---

[1] e-mail:tyutin@lpi.ru
[2] e-mail:voronov@lpi.ru

1 Introduction

This paper is a natural continuation of the previous papers [6] and [2]. In [6], see also sec. 7.2 in [5] to which we mainly refer in what follows, all one-particle Calogero Hamiltonians $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}$ associated with self-adjoint (s.a. in what follows) Calogero differential operation[3]

$$\check{H} = -d_x^2 - \alpha/x^2, x \in \mathbb{R}_+,\ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{1}$$

α is a dimensionless coupling constant, were constructed as s.a. operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and their spectra and (generalized) eigenfunctions were evaluated including inversion formulas. In [2], the so-called oscillator representations for nonnegative Calogero Hamiltonians $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}$, $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}} \geq 0$, with $\alpha \geq -1/4$ were constructed. An oscillator representation is a representation of the form

$$\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}} = \hat{c}^+\hat{c}, \tag{2}$$

where $\hat{c}$ and $\hat{c}^+$is a pair of closed mutually adjoint first-order differential operators, $\hat{c}^+ = (\hat{c})^+$, $\hat{c} = \overline{c} = (\hat{c}^+)^+$. Such a representation makes evident that the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}$ is nonnegative. Here, the results in [2] are generalized to all Calogero Hamiltonians with $\alpha \geq -1/4$, generally not nonnegative, in the form of generalized oscillator representations.

The initial basic ideas of the generalization are as follows.

As is known, all Calogero Hamiltonians with $\alpha \geq -1/4$ are bounded from below [5]. From the general standpoint, this is a consequence of that the initial symmetric operator $\hat{H}$ associated with $\check{H}$ with $\alpha \geq -1/4$ and defined on the subspace $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ of smooth compactly supported functions is nonnegative and therefore, all its s.a. extensions $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}$, which are just the Calogero Hamiltonians, are bounded from below [1, 7]. This implies that for each Calogero Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}$ with $\alpha \geq -1/4$, there exists a nonnegative constant u such that the operator $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}+u^2\hat{I}$, where $\hat{I}$ is the identity operator, is nonnegative and may allow an oscillator representation of form (1). The operator $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}+u^2\hat{I}$ is evidently associated with the differential operation $\check{H}+u^2$. The parameter u is of dimension of inverse length, and it is convenient to represent it as $u = sk_0$, where $s \geq 0$ is a dimensionless parameter and $k_0 > 0$ is a fixed parameter of dimension of inverse length. By a generalized oscillator representation for Calogero Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}$, we mean a representation of the form

$$\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}} = \hat{c}^+\hat{c} - (sk_0)^2\hat{I},\ s \geq 0, \tag{3}$$

where $\hat{c}$ and $\hat{c}^+$are of the previous meaning; $s = 0$ yields an oscillator representation. Such representations is one more aspect of the general Calogero problem. They can be useful for many reasons, including the spectral analysis of the Hamiltonians. In particular, representation (3) for a Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}$ makes evident that $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}$ is bounded from below, and its spectrum is bounded from below by $-(sk_0)^2$, which is the lower boundary of the spectrum if the kernel of the operator $\hat{c}$ is nontrivial, $\ker\hat{c} \neq \{0\}$; then $\ker\hat{c}$ is the groundspace (ground state) of the Hamiltonian and $E_0 = -(sk_0)^2$ is its ground-state energy.

---

[3] We recall that by definition, a differential operator $\hat{f}$ is called associated with a differential operation $\check{f}$ if the operator $\hat{f}$ acts on its domain D_f by $\check{f}$: $\hat{f}\psi(x) = \check{f}\ \psi(x), \forall\psi \in D_f$.

A starting point for constructing oscillator representations (2) was the oscillator representation for the respective differential operation $\check{H}$ that is a representation of the form

$$\begin{gathered}\check{H}=\check{b}\check{a},\\ \check{b}=\check{a}^{*}=(\check{b}^{*})^{*},\ \check{a}=\ \check{b}^{*}=(\check{a}^{*})^{*},\end{gathered}$$

where $\check{a}$ is a first-order differential operation and $\check{a}^{*}$is its adjoint by Lagrange, see [1]. Accordingly, a starting point for constructing generalized oscillator representations (3) for Calogero Hamiltonians with the coupling constant $\alpha\geq-1/4$ should be a generalized oscillator representation

$$\check{H}=\check{b}\check{a}-(sk_{0})^{2},\ s\geq0, \tag{4}$$

for the respective $\check{H}$ with certain $\check{a}$ and $\check{b}$ of the previous meaning.

Let differential operation $\check{H}$ (1) allows generalized oscillator representation (4). If we introduce the pair of initial differential operators $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ in $L^{2}(R_{+})$ defined on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_{+})$ and associated with the pair of the respective differential operations $\check{a}$ and $\check{b}$, then the initial symmetric operator $\hat{H}$ is evidently represented as

$$\hat{H}=\hat{b}\,\hat{a}-(sk_{0})^{2}\hat{I}.$$

Let $\hat{c}$ and $\hat{c}^{+}$ be a pair of closed mutually adjoint operators that are closed extentions of the respective initial operators $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$, $\hat{a}\subset\hat{c}$, $\hat{b}\subset\hat{c}^{+}$. Then the operator

$$\hat{H}_{ext}=\hat{c}^{+}\hat{c}-(sk_{0})^{2}\hat{I} \tag{5}$$

is an evident extension of $\hat{H}$, $\hat{H}\subset\hat{H}_{ext}$. By the von Neumann theorem [4], for a proof, see also [1], the operator $\hat{N}=\hat{c}^{+}\hat{c}$, where $\hat{c}$ is closed, $\hat{c}=\overline{\hat{c}}$, is s.a. and nonnegative, $\hat{N}=\hat{N}^{+}\geq0$; in addition, if $\ker\hat{c}\neq\{0\}$, then $\hat{c}$ is an eigenspace with the minimum eigenvalue 0 which is the lower boundary of the spectrum of $\hat{N}$. Therefore, operator $\hat{H}_{ext}$ (5) is s.a. , which means that $\hat{H}_{ext}$ is a certain Calogero Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}$ represented in the generalized oscillator form (3) providing its above-mentioned properties.

We note that generalized oscillator representation (3) for a Calogero Hamiltonian is equivalent to the representation

$$\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}=\hat{d}\,\hat{d}^{+}-(sk_{0})^{2}\hat{I},$$

where $\hat{d}$ and $\hat{d}^{+}$is a pair of closed mutually adjoint operators that are extensions of the respective initial operators $\hat{b}$ and $\hat{a}$: it is sufficient to make the identifications $\hat{c}=\hat{d}^{+}$, $\hat{c}^{+}=\hat{d}$. Constructing a pair $\hat{c}\supset\hat{a}$, $\hat{c}^{+}\supset\hat{b}$ or a pair $\hat{d}\supset\hat{b}$, $\hat{d}^{+}\supset\hat{a}$ is a matter of convenience: we can start with extending $\hat{a}$ to its closure or with extending $\hat{b}$ to its closure.

Varying the parameter s in (4) and involving all possible mutually-adjoint extensions of the initial $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ with given s, we can hope to construct generalized oscillator representations (3) for all Calogero Hamiltonians with $\alpha\geq-1/4$. We show below that these expectations are realized. An identification of Hamiltonians $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}$ (3) with the known Calogero Hamiltonians in [5] is straightworward for $\alpha\geq3/4$ because the Hamiltonian with given $\alpha\geq3/4$ is unique, while for $-1/4\leq\alpha<3/4$, an identification goes through evaluating the asymptotic behavior of functions belonging to the domain of $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}$ (3) at the origin and comparing it with the asymptotic s.a. boundary conditions specifying different Calogero Hamiltonians with given $\alpha\in[-1/4,3/4)$ [5].

We say in advance that generalized oscillator representation (3) for a given Calogero Hamiltonian is generally highly nonunique; in fact, there exists a one-, or even two-, parameter family of generalized oscillator representations for each Hamiltonian, among which there exists an optimum representation.

As to generalized oscillator representations for Calogero Hamiltonians with the coupling constants $\alpha < -1/4$, there are no such representations and can not be because these Hamiltonians are not bounded from below [5].

2 General

We begin with looking into the possibility of generalized oscillator representation (4) for Calogero differential operation $\check{H}$ (1), i.e., representing $\check{H}$ as a product of two finite-order differential operations mutually adjoint by Lagrange minus a nonnegative constant:

$$\begin{aligned}&\check{H} = \check{b}(s)\,\check{a}(s) - (sk_0)^2,\ s \geq 0,\\ &\check{b} = \check{a}^* = (\check{b}^*)^*,\ \check{a} = \check{b}^* = (\check{a}^*)^*,\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

the fixed parameter k_0 is of dimension of inverse length. In fact, we deal with a family $\{\check{a}(s),\ \check{b}(s)\}$ of mutually adjoint by Lagrange differential operations. We often omit the argument s in the symbols $\check{a}$ and $\check{b}$ for brevity and write it when needed. The representation (6) with $s = 0$ is an oscillator representation for $\check{H}$, it was considered in [2]. It is desirable that $\check{a}(s)$ and $\check{b}(s)$ be continuous in s, in particular, it is desirable to get the known oscillator representations for $\check{H}$ from generalized oscillator representations (6) for $\check{H}$ in the limit $s \to 0$.

It is easy to see that $\check{a}$ and $\check{b}$ have to be first-order differential operations,

$$\check{a} = e^{i\theta(x)}[d_x - h(x)],\ \check{b} = -[d_x + \overline{h(x)}]e^{-i\theta(x)}. \tag{7}$$

The substitution of (7) into (6) results in the following necessary and sufficient conditions on the function $h(x)$ for representation (6) to hold:

$$\operatorname{Im} h(x) = 0,\ h^{'}(x) + h^2(x) = \alpha x^{-2} + s^2k_0^2,$$

which is the Ricatti equation. We additionally require that the functions $\theta(x)$ and $h(x)$ be nonsingular in $(0,\infty)$. The arbitrary phase factors $e^{\pm i\theta(x)}$ in (7) are irrelevant because they trivially cancel in the product $\check{b}\,\check{a}$; their fixing is a matter of convenience. We set $\theta(x) = 0$, so that in what follows, $\check{a}$ and $\check{b}$ in representation (6) are given by

$$\check{a} = d_x - h(x),\ \check{b} = -d_x - h(x), \tag{8}$$

$$\operatorname{Im} h(x) = 0,\ h^{'}(x) + h^2(x) = \alpha x^{-2} + s^2k_0^2. \tag{9}$$

We recall that in fact, we have a family $\{\check{a}(s) = d_x - h(s;x),\ \check{b}(s) = -d_x - h(s;x)\}$ of mutually adjoint first-order differential operations and the corresponding family $\{h(s;x)\}$ of functions h.

The representation (6), (8), (9) with given s, if it exists, is generally nonunique: if nonlinear equation (9) with given s has a family of different admissible solutions h, there is a family of the respective different pairs $\check{a}, \check{b}$ (8) providing the desired representation, so that apart from s, the symbols $\check{a}$ and $\check{b}$ can contain a certain additional argument, let it be μ, parametrizing the family of admissible h's with given s, and we actually have a two-parameter family $\{h(\mu, s; x)\}$ and the respective family $\{\check{a}(\mu, s), \check{b}(\mu, s)\}$. Where possible, this parametrization must be such that to provide a smooth transition to the limit $s \to 0$ which reproduces the known oscillator representations for $\check{H}$. We write the arguments s and μ when needed and omit them for brevity if this does not lead to misunderstanding.

It is easy to prove that differential operation $\check{H}$ (1) allows generalized oscillator representation (6), (8), (9), iff the homogeneous differential equation

$$-\phi''(x) + \frac{\alpha}{x^2}\phi(x) + (sk_0)^2\phi(x) = 0 \tag{10}$$

or the eigenvalue problem

$$\check{H}\phi = -\phi''(x) + \frac{\alpha}{x^2}\phi(x) = -(sk_0)^2\phi(x),$$

(which can be considered a stationary Schroedinger equation with "energy" $-(sk_0)^2$) has a real-valued positive solution (with no zeroes in $(0, \infty)$),

$$\operatorname{Im}\phi(x) = 0,\ \phi(x) > 0,\ x > 0,$$

and in this case,

$$h(x) = \phi'(x)/\phi(x) = -\phi(x)\left(\frac{1}{\phi(x)}\right)',$$

so that $\check{a}$ and $\check{b}$ allow the representations

$$\check{a} = \phi(x) d_x \frac{1}{\phi(x)},\ \check{b} = -\frac{1}{\phi(x)} d_x \phi(x). \tag{11}$$

It is evident that the function ϕ is defined up to a positive constant factor.

We also note that by $\phi(x)$ is actually meant a family $\{\phi(s; x)\}$, we write the argument s when needed.

Necessity. Let $\check{H}$ allow representation (6), (8), (9) with a function $h(x)$ absolutely continuous in $(0, \infty)$. We introduce the real-valued positive function $\phi(x)$ defined up to a positive constant factor by

$$\phi(x) = \exp \int^x d\xi\, h(\xi),$$

so that the function $h(x)$ can be represented as

$$h(x) = \phi'(x)/\phi(x) = -\phi(x)\left(\frac{1}{\phi(x)}\right)'.$$

It is easy to verify that equation (9) for $h(x)$ implies eq. (10) for $\phi(x)$.

Sufficiency. Let $\phi(x)$ be a real-valued positive solution of eq. (10) . It is easy to verify that the function $h(x) = \phi'(x)/\phi(x)$ is absolutely continuous in $(0, \infty)$ and satisfies eq. (9) thus providing representation (6), (8), (9) for $\check{H}$.

We thus obtain that an existence and a possible nonuniqueness of representation (6) is formulated in terms of eq. (10) as follows. If eq. (10) has no real-valued positive solution, there exists no representation (6). If eq. (10) has a unique, up to a positive constant factor, real-valued positive solution ϕ, there exists unique representation (6), (11). If eq. (10) has two linearly independent real-valued positive solutions ϕ_1 and ϕ_2, there exists a one-parameter family $\{\breve{a}, \breve{b}\}$ of different admissible pairs $\breve{a}, \breve{b}$ providing the desired representation. This family is in one-to-one correspondence given by (11) with a family $\{A\phi_1 + B\phi_2,\ A, B : A\phi_1(x) + B\phi_2(x) > 0,\ x > 0\}$ of pairwise linearly independent real-valued positive solutions of eq.(10) defined modulo a positive constant factor: the constant coefficients A and B that differ by a positive constant factor yield the same pair $\breve{a}, \breve{b}$. The range of admissible coefficients A and B has to be established. When possible, a single parameter, let it be μ, parametrizing these coefficients, $A = A(\mu)$ and $B = B(\mu)$, must be introduced in such a way as to provide a proper oscillator representations for $\breve{H}$ in the limit $s \to 0$.

The general solution of eq. (10) with $s > 0$ is given by

$$\phi(s;x) = A\sqrt{x}I_{\varkappa}(sk_0x) + B\sqrt{x}K_{\varkappa}(sk_0x),$$
$$\varkappa = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\alpha + 1/4} \geq 0,\ \alpha \geq -1/4 \\ i\sigma,\ \sigma = \sqrt{|\alpha| - 1/4} > 0,\ \alpha < -1/4 \end{cases}, \tag{12}$$

or by

$$\phi(s;x) = A\sqrt{x}I_{\varkappa}(sk_0x) + B\sqrt{x}I_{-\varkappa}(sk_0x), \tag{13}$$

if $\varkappa \notin Z_+$.

Here, $I_{\pm\varkappa}$ are the modified first-order Bessel functions and $K_{\varkappa}$ is the McDonald function (another name for these functions is the Bessel functions of imaginary argument), A and B are arbitrary complex constants. Whether the right-hand sides in (12) or in (13) can be real-valued and positive under an appropriate choice of coefficients A and B crucially depends on a value of the coupling constant α. Two regions of the coupling constant differ drastically, $\alpha < -1/4$ and $\alpha \geq -1/4$, which we consider separately. In the second region, the point $\alpha = -1/4$ is naturally distinguished.

We first consider the region $\alpha < -1/4$ where a situation with generalized oscillator representations is most simple.

3 Region $\alpha < -1/4$ ($\varkappa = i\sigma$)

In this region of the coupling constant, we use form (13) with $\varkappa = i\sigma$ of the general solution of eq. (10) with $s > 0$,

$$\phi(s;x) = A\sqrt{x}I_{i\sigma}(sk_0x) + B\sqrt{x}I_{-i\sigma}(sk_0x),\ \sigma = \sqrt{|\alpha| - 1/4} > 0. \tag{14}$$

Because the functions $I_{\pm i\sigma}$ of real argument are linearly independent and complex conjugate, $I_{-i\sigma}(sk_0x) = \overline{I_{i\sigma}(sk_0x)}$, the condition $\operatorname{Im}\phi(sk_0;x) = 0$ requires that $B = \overline{A}$, and we obtain that the general real-valued solution of eq. (10), $s > 0$, is given by

$$\phi(s;x) = 2\operatorname{Re}[A\sqrt{x}I_{i\sigma}(sk_0x)].$$

The asymptotic behavior of such a function as $x \to 0$ is of the form

$$\phi(s;x) = 2\,\mathrm{Re}\left\{\rho e^{i\varphi}\sqrt{x}(sk_0x)^{i\sigma}\left[1+O(x^2)\right]\right\} =$$
$$= 2\sqrt{x}\rho\left[1+O(x^2)\right]\left[\cos(\sigma\ln(sk_0x)+\varphi+O(x^2)\right],\ \rho e^{i\varphi}\ = A\frac{(1/2)^{i\sigma}}{\Gamma(1+i\sigma)},$$

which demonstrates that any real-valued solution of eq. (10) with $\alpha < -1/4$ and $s > 0$ has an infinite number of zeros in any neighbohood of the origin. This means that the Calogero differential operation $\check{H}$ (1) with $\alpha < -1/4$ does not allow generalized oscillator representation (6) with $s > 0$.

We recall that the same holds for an oscillator representation for $\check{H}$, which corresponds to the case $s = 0$, see [2]. We note that to have the general solution of eq. $\check{H}\phi = 0$ from the general solution (14) of eq. (10) with $s \neq 0$ as a proper limit $s \to 0$, it is sufficient to make the substitutions $A \to As^{-i\sigma}$, $B \to Bs^{i\sigma}$.

4 Region $\alpha > -1/4$ ($\varkappa > 0$)

4.1 Generalized oscillator representations for $\check{H}$, differential operations $\check{a}$ and $\check{b}$

In this region of the coupling constant, we use form (12) of the general solution of eq. (10) with $s > 0$. To include the point $s = 0$ in the range of admissible s, $s \geq 0$, smoothly, it is appropriate to make the substitutions

$$A \to A\,\Gamma(1+\varkappa)\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{-\varkappa}\sqrt{k_0},\ B \to B\frac{2}{\Gamma(\varkappa)}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{\varkappa}\sqrt{k_0}.$$

Under these substitutions, the general solution of eq. $\check{H}\phi = 0$ is properly obtained from the general solution (12) of eq. (10) with $s \neq 0$ in the limit $s \to 0$, which allows to get the known oscillator representations for $\check{H}$ (1), see [2], from generalized oscillator representations (6), (11) with $s > 0$ in the limit $s \to 0$.

The general solution of eq. (10) with $\alpha > -1/4$ and $s \geq 0$, is then given by

$$\phi(s;x) = A\,\Gamma(1+\varkappa)\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{-\varkappa}\sqrt{k_0x}I_\varkappa(sk_0x) + B\frac{2}{\Gamma(\varkappa)}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{\varkappa}\sqrt{k_0x}K_\varkappa(sk_0x),$$
$$\varkappa = \sqrt{\alpha+1/4} > 0. \tag{15}$$

Because $\sqrt{k_0x}I_\varkappa(sk_0x)$ and $\sqrt{k_0x}K_\varkappa(sk_0x)$ are real-valued and linearly independent solutions, a condition $\mathrm{Im}\,\phi(s;x) = 0$ requires that $\mathrm{Im}\,A = \mathrm{Im}\,B = 0$. The function $I_\varkappa(sk_0x)$ is positive in $(0,\infty)$ and monotonically increases from zero at $x = 0$ to infinity as $x \to \infty$ (see [3] 8.445, 8.451.5), while the function $K_\varkappa(sk_0x)$ is positive in $(0,\infty)$ and monotonically decreases from infinity at $x = 0$ to zero as $x \to \infty$ (see [3], 8.432.1, 8.486.16, 8.486.11, 8.451.6, 8.485, 8.446).

It follows that $\phi(s;x)$ (15) is real valued and positive in $(0,\infty)$ iff $A \geq 0$, $B \geq 0$, $A + B > 0$. As noted above, a common constant positive factor in $\phi(s;x)$ is irrelevant because it does not enter generalized oscillator representation (6), (11) for $\check{H}$.

To make this evident, we can set $A = \sin\mu$, $B = \cos\mu$, $\mu \in [0, \pi/2]$, in (15) without loss of generality.

Thus, for each $\alpha > -1/4$, $(\varkappa > 0)$, we have a one-parameter family $\{\phi(\mu, s; x)\}$ of pairwise linearly independent real-valued positive solutions $\phi(\mu, s; x)$ of eq. (10) with given $s \geq 0$ and the corresponding two-parameter family $\{\check{a}(\mu, s),\ \check{b}(\mu, s)\}$ of different pairs of mutually adjoint first-order differential operations $\check{a}(\mu, s)$ and $\check{b}(\mu, s)$ given by (11) with $\phi = \phi(\mu, s; x)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\check{a}(\mu, s) = \check{b}^*(\mu, s) = d_x - h(\mu, s; x) = \phi(\mu, s; x) d_x \frac{1}{\phi(\mu, s; x)}, \\
&\check{b}(\mu, s) = \check{a}^*(\mu, s) = -d_x - h(\mu, s; x) = -\frac{1}{\phi(\mu, s; x)} d_x \phi(\mu, s; x), \\
&h(\mu, s; x) = \frac{\phi'(\mu, s; x)}{\phi(\mu, s; x)}, \\
&\phi(\mu, s; x) = \sin\mu\, \Gamma(1+\varkappa) \left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{-\varkappa} \sqrt{k_0 x} I_\varkappa(s k_0 x) + \cos\mu \frac{2}{\Gamma(\varkappa)} \left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{\varkappa} \sqrt{k_0 x} K_\varkappa(s k_0 x), \\
&\mu \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}],\ \ s \in [0, \infty),\ \varkappa > 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

providing a two-parameter family of different generalized oscillator representations (6) for $\check{H}$ (1) with given $\alpha > -1/4$,

$$\check{H} = \check{b}(\mu, s)\check{a}(\mu, s) - (s k_0)^2. \tag{17}$$

As a rule, we indicate the ranges of parameters μ and s in formulas to follow only if they differ from the whole ranges, these are $[0, \pi/2]$ for μ and $[0, \infty)$ for s, the range of $\varkappa$ is clear from the title of section, subsection or subsubsection.

As to the main resulting formulas, we indicate the ranges of all the parameters including $\varkappa$.

For the asymptotic behavior of the functions $\phi(\mu, s; x)$ and the functions $1/\phi(\mu, s; x)$ at the origin, which we need below, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi(\mu, s; x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \cos\mu\, (k_0 x)^{1/2-\varkappa} \left[1 + O(x^2)\right],\ \mu \in [0, \pi/2) \\ (k_0 x)^{1/2+\varkappa} \left[1 + O(x^2)\right] = O(x^{1/2+\varkappa}),\ \mu = \pi/2, \end{array} \right. ,\ \varkappa > 1, \\
\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \cos\mu\, (k_0 x)^{-1/2} + O(x^{3/2} \ln \frac{1}{x}),\ \ \mu \in [0, \pi/2) \\ (k_0 x)^{3/2} \left[1 + O(x^2)\right] = O(x^{3/2}),\ \ \mu = \pi/2, \end{array} \right. ,\ \varkappa = 1, \\
\tilde{A}(\mu, s)(k_0 x)^{1/2+\varkappa} \left[1 + O(x^2)\right] + \cos\mu\, (k_0 x)^{1/2-\varkappa} \left[1 + O(x^2)\right], \\
\mu \in [0, \pi/2],\ 0 < \varkappa < 1,
\end{array} \right. ,\ x \to 0, \\
&\tilde{A}(\mu, s) = \sin\mu - \frac{\Gamma(1-\varkappa)}{\Gamma(1+\varkappa)} \left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{2\varkappa} \cos\mu\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\phi(\mu,s;x)}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{1}{\cos\mu}(k_0x)^{\varkappa-1/2}\left[1+O(x^2)\right],\ \mu\in[0,\pi/2)\\(k_0x)^{-1/2-\varkappa}\left[1+O(x^2)\right],\ \ \mu=\pi/2\end{array}\right. & ,\ \varkappa>1\\\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{1}{\cos\mu}(k_0x)^{1/2}+O(x^{5/2}\ln\frac{1}{x}),\ \mu\in[0,\pi/2)\\(k_0x)^{-3/2}\left[1+O(x^2)\right],\ \ \mu=\pi/2,\end{array}\right. & ,\ \varkappa=1\\\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{(k_0x)^{\varkappa-1/2}}{\cos\mu+\tilde{A}(\mu,s)(k_0x)^{2\varkappa}}\left[1+O(x^2)\right],\ \mu\in[0,\pi/2),\\(k_0x)^{-1/2-\varkappa}\left[1+O(x^2)\right],\ \ \mu=\pi/2,\end{array}\right. & ,\ 0<\varkappa<1\end{array}\right.\quad,\ x\to 0. \tag{19}$$

For the respective functions $h(\mu,s;x)=\phi'(\mu,s;x)/\phi(\mu,s;x)$, we have

$$h(\mu,s;x)=\frac{1}{2x}+sk_0\frac{\sin\mu\,\Gamma(1+\varkappa)\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{-\varkappa}I'_\varkappa(sk_0x)+\cos\mu\,\frac{2}{\Gamma(\varkappa)}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{\varkappa}K'_\varkappa(sk_0x)}{\sin\mu\,\Gamma(1+\varkappa)\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{-\varkappa}I_\varkappa(sk_0x)+\cos\mu\,\frac{2}{\Gamma(\varkappa)}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{\varkappa}K_\varkappa(sk_0x)},$$

$$h(\mu,s;x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}sk_0+O(x^{-1}),\ \mu\in[0,\pi/2)\\-sk_0+O(x^{-1}),\ \mu=\pi/2\end{array}\right.,\ x\to\infty, \tag{20}$$

the prime $'$ in the r.h.s. of (20) denotes a derivative with respect to the argument.

4.2 Initial operators $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$

We introduce the pairs of initial differential operators $\hat{a}(\mu,s)$ and $\hat{b}(\mu,s)$ in $L^2(R_+)$ defined on the subspace $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ of smooth functions compactly supported in $(0,\infty)$, $D_{a(\mu,s)}=D_{b(\mu,s)}=\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_+)$, and associated with each pair of the respective differential operations $\check{a}(\mu,s)$ and $\check{b}(\mu,s)$ (16). Similarly to the differential operations $\check{a}$ and $\check{b}$, we often omit the arguments μ and s of operators $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ for breavity if this does lead to misunderstanding, the arguments are written when needed.

These operators have the property

$$(\psi,\hat{a}\,\xi)=\left(\hat{b}\,\psi,\xi\right),\ \forall\psi,\xi\in\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_+), \tag{21}$$

which is easily verified by integration by parts.

It is evident from (17) that the initial symmetric operator $\hat{H}$ with $\alpha>-1/4$ associated with $\check{H}$ and defined on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ can be represented as

$$\hat{H}=\hat{b}(\mu,s)\,\hat{a}(\mu,s)-(sk_0)^2\hat{I}. \tag{22}$$

These representations provide a basis for constructing generalized oscillator representations for all s.a. Calogero Hamiltonians $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}$ with $\alpha>-1/4$ in accordance with the program formulated in Introduction. Namely, we should construct all possible extensions of any pair $\hat{a}(\mu,s)$, $\hat{b}(\mu,s)$ of initial operators with given μ and s to a pair of closed mutually adjoint operators $\hat{c}(\mu,s)$, $\hat{c}^+(\mu,s)$, $\hat{a}(\mu,s)\subset\hat{c}(\mu,s)$, $\hat{b}(\mu,s)\subset\hat{c}^+(\mu,s)$, or equivalently, to a pair of closed mutually adjoint operators $\hat{d}(\mu,s)$, $\hat{d}^+(\mu,s)$, $\hat{a}(\mu,s)\subset\hat{d}^+(\mu,s)$, $\hat{b}(\mu,s)\subset\hat{d}(\mu,s)(\mu,s)$. These extensions produce the respective operators

$$\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}\,c(\mu,s)}=\hat{c}^+(\mu,s)\,\hat{c}(\mu,s)-(sk_0)^2\hat{I}, \tag{23}$$

and

$$\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}\,d(\mu,s)} = \hat{d}(\mu,s)\,\hat{d}^{+}(\mu,s) - (sk_0)^2\hat{I}, \tag{24}$$

which are certain Calogero Hamiltonians represented in a generalized oscillator form. The both $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}\,c(\mu,s)}$ and $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}\,d(\mu,s)}$ are bounded from below, in particular, their spectra are bounded from below by $-(sk_0)^2$. If $\ker\hat{c}(\mu,s) \neq \{0\}$, then $\ker\hat{c}(\mu,s)$ is the ground eigenspace (eigenstate) of $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}\,c(\mu,s)}$, while $E_0 = -(sk_0)^2$ is its ground-state energy; similarly, if $\ker\hat{d}^{+}(\mu,s) \neq \{0\}$, then $\ker\hat{d}^{+}(\mu,s)$ is the ground eigenspace (eigenstate) of $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}\,d(\mu,s)}$, while $E_0 = -(sk_0)^2$ is its ground-state energy. It then remains to identify $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}\,c(\mu,s)}$ and $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}\,d(\mu,s)}$ with the known Calogero Hamiltonians and to hope that varying μ and s, we can represent all Calogero Hamiltonians in the generalized oscillator form.

We continue with extending an arbitrary pair of initial operators $\hat{a}(\mu,s)$, $\hat{b}(\mu,s)$ to a pair of closed mutually adjoint operators.

4.3 Adjoint operators $\hat{a}^{+}$ and $\hat{b}^{+}$, closed operators $\overline{\hat{a}}$ and $\overline{\hat{b}}$

In this subsection, the arguments μ and s of all operators involved are omitted for brevity, they are implicitly implied.

Because the operators $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ are densely defined, they have the adjoints, the respective $\hat{a}^{+}$ and $\hat{b}^{+}$. The defining equation for $\hat{a}^{+}$, i.e., the equation for pairs $\psi \in D_{a^{+}}$ and $\eta = \hat{a}^{+}\psi$ forming the graph of the operator $\hat{a}^{+}$, see [5], reads

$$(\psi, \hat{a}\xi) = (\eta, \xi), \quad \forall\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_{+}).$$

The equality (21) then implies that $\hat{b} \subset \hat{a}^{+}$. It follows that $\hat{a}^{+}$ is densely defined and in turn has the adjoint $(\hat{a}^{+})^{+}$, while the operator $\hat{a}$ has a closure $\overline{\hat{a}} = (\hat{a}^{+})^{+} \subseteq \hat{b}^{+}$ and $\left(\overline{\hat{a}}\right)^{+} = \hat{a}^{+}$. Similarly, we obtain that $\hat{a} \subset \hat{b}^{+}$, and therefore, the operator $\hat{b}$ has a closure $\overline{\hat{b}} = (\hat{b}^{+})^{+} \subseteq \hat{a}^{+}$ and $\left(\overline{\hat{b}}\right)^{+} = \hat{b}^{+}$. We thus have the chains of inclusions

$$\hat{a} \subset \overline{\hat{a}} = (\hat{a}^{+})^{+} \subseteq \hat{b}^{+},\, \hat{b} \subset \overline{\hat{b}} = (\hat{b}^{+})^{+} \subseteq \hat{a}^{+}. \tag{25}$$

4.4 Domains of operators $\hat{a}^{+}$, $\hat{b}^{+}$, $\overline{\hat{a}}$ and $\overline{\hat{b}}$

As a rule, in this subsection, the arguments μ and s of all operators involved, as well as of differential expressions $\check{a}$ and $\check{b}$ and of functions ϕ and h, are omitted for brevity, they are written when needed.

We now describe the operators $\hat{a}^{+}$, $\hat{b}^{+}$, $\overline{\hat{a}}$, and $\overline{\hat{b}}$. In evaluating these operators, we follow [1, 7, 5] where one of the basics is the notion of the natural domain[4] $D_{\check{f}}^{n} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}_{+})$ for a given differential operation $\check{f}$ that is the subspace of square-integrable functions $\psi(x)$ such that the function $\check{f}\ \psi(x)$ has a sense[5] and is also square integrable, $D_{\check{f}}^{n} =$

---

[4] For s.a. differential operations $\check{f} = \check{f}^{*}$, the natural domain is conventionally denoted by $D_{\check{f}}^{*}$ for special reason, see [7, 1, 5].

[5] For example, if $\check{f}$ is a differential operation of order n with smooth coefficients, ψ is absolutely continuous together with its $n-1$ derivatives.

$\{\psi(x) : \psi, \check{f}\ \psi \in L^2(R_+)\}$. The natural domain is the domain of the maximum operator associated with a given differential operation.

We outline the results of the evaluation. The operators $\hat{a}^+$ and $\overline{\hat{b}}$ are associated with the differential operation $\check{b} = \check{a}^*$, while the operators $\hat{b}^+$ and $\overline{\hat{a}}$ are associated with the differential operation $\check{a} = \check{b}^*$. We therefore dwell on the domains of the operators involved, which either coincide with or belong to the natural domains for the respective differential operations .

i) The domain of the operator $\hat{a}^+$ is the natural domain for[6] $\check{b}$:

$$\begin{aligned}&D_{a^+} = D^n_{\check{b}} = \{\psi(x) : \psi \text{ is a.c. in } \mathbb{R}_+;\\ &\psi, \check{b}\psi = -\frac{1}{\phi(x)}\frac{d}{dx}\left(\phi(x)\psi(x)\right) = -\psi' - h\psi = \eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)\}.\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Because the functions h are bounded at infinity, see (20), it is immediately established in a standard way that the functions ψ belonging to $D^n_{\check{b}}$ vanish at infinity,

$$\psi(x) \to 0,\ x \to \infty,\ \forall \psi \in D^n_{\check{b}}. \tag{27}$$

A generic function ψ belonging to $D^n_{\check{b}}$ can be considered as the general solution of the inhomogeneous differential equation

$$\check{b}\psi(x) = \eta(x) \tag{28}$$

under the additional conditions that the both $\psi(x)$ and $\eta(x)$ are square integrable on $\mathbb{R}_+$. Therefore, with taking (18) and (19) into account, ψ belonging to $D^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)}$ allows the representation

$$\begin{aligned}&\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\phi(\mu,s;x)}\left[C - \int_{x_0}^{x} dy\, \phi(\mu,s;y)\eta(y)\right],\ \eta(x) = \check{b}\psi(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+),\\ &x_0 = 0\,\text{for}\ 0 < \varkappa < 1\text{and for}\ \mu = \pi/2,\ \varkappa \geq 1;\ x_0 > 0\ \text{for}\ \mu \in [0,\pi/2), \varkappa \geq 1,\\ &C\,\text{is arbitrary const for}\,\mu \in [0,\pi/2);\ C = 0\ \text{for}\ \mu = \pi/2.\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Estimating the integral term in (29) with the Cauchy–Bunyakovskii inequality, we obtain that the asymptotic behavior of functions $\psi \in D^n_{\check{b}}$ at the origin is given by

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} O(x^{1/2}),\ \varkappa > 1 \\ \begin{cases} O(x^{1/2}\sqrt{\ln\frac{1}{x}}),\ \mu \in [0,\pi/2) \\ O(x^{1/2}),\ \mu = \pi/2 \end{cases},\ \varkappa = 1 \\ \begin{cases} \frac{C}{\cos\mu}(k_0 x)^{\varkappa - 1/2}[1 + O(x^{2\varkappa})] + O(x^{1/2}),\ \mu \in [0,\pi/2) \\ O(x^{1/2}),\ \mu = \pi/2 \end{cases},\ 0 < \varkappa < 1 \end{cases},\ x \to 0. \tag{30}$$

We note that for $0 < \varkappa < 1$, the natural domain $D^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)}$ for $\check{b}(\mu,s)$ with $\mu \in [0,\pi/2)$ can be represented as a direct sum of the form

$$D^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)} = \{C\psi_0(\mu,s)\} + \tilde{D}^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)},\ \mu \in [0,\pi/2),\ 0 < \varkappa < 1,$$

---

[6] The symbol “a.c.” is a contraction of “absolutely continuous”.

where the function $\psi_0\ (\mu, s; x)$ belonging to $D^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)}$ is given by

$$\psi_0(\mu, s; x) = \frac{1}{\phi(\mu, s; x)}\zeta\,(x)\,, \text{ so that } \check{b}(\mu, s)\psi_0(\mu, s; x) = -\frac{1}{\phi(\mu, s; x)}\zeta'\,(x)\,,$$

$\zeta\,(x)$ is a fixed smooth function equal to 1 in a neighborhood of the origin and equal to 0 for $x \geq x_\infty > 0$, and $\tilde{D}^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)}$ is the subspace of functions belonging to $D^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)}$ and vanishing at the origin:

$$\tilde{D}^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)} = \left\{\psi(x) \in D^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)} : \psi(x) = O(x^{1/2}),\ x \to 0\right\},\ \mu \in [0, \pi/2),\ 0 < \ \varkappa < 1. \tag{31}$$

The final result is given by

$$D_{a^+(\mu,s)} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} D^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)},\ \mu \in [0, \pi/2),\ \varkappa \geq 1, \text{ and } \mu = \pi/2\ ,\ \varkappa > 0, \\ D^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)} = \{C\psi_0(\mu, s)\} + \tilde{D}^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)},\ \mu \in [0, \pi/2),\ 0 < \varkappa < 1, \end{array} \right. . \tag{32}$$

with $D^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)}$ given by (26) and with estimates (27) at infinity and (30) at the origin.

ii) The operator $\hat{b}^+$ is described quite similarly. Its domain is the natural domain for $\check{a} = \check{b}^*$:

$$D_{b^+} = D^n_{\check{a}} = \{\chi(x) : \chi \text{ is a.c. in } \mathbb{R}_+;\ \chi,\ \check{a}\chi = \phi d_x \left(\frac{1}{\phi}\chi\right) = \chi' - h\chi = \eta \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)\}. \tag{33}$$

By the same reasoning as for the case of functions ψ belonging to $D^n_{\check{b}}$, the functions χ belonging to $D^n_{\check{a}}$ vanish at infinity,

$$\chi(x) \to 0,\ x \to \infty,\ \forall\chi \in D^n_{\check{a}}. \tag{34}$$

Using arguments similar to those for a generic function ψ belonging to $D^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)}$, with the natural interchange $\phi \leftrightarrow 1/\phi$, , we establish that a generic function χ belonging to $D^n_{\check{a}(\mu,s)}$ allows the representation

$$\begin{gathered} \chi(x) = \phi(\mu, s; x) \left[D + \int_{x_0}^x dy \frac{1}{\phi(\mu, s; y)}\eta(y)\right],\ \ \eta(x) = \check{a}\chi(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+), \\ x_0 = 0 \text{ for } \mu \in [0, \pi/2);\ x_0 > 0 \text{ for } \mu = \pi/2, \\ D \text{ is an arbitrary constant for } \mu = \pi/2,\ \varkappa \geq 1\text{and for } 0 < \varkappa < 1;\ D = 0 \text{ for } \mu \in [0, \pi/2),\ \varkappa \geq 1. \end{gathered} \tag{35}$$

The asymptotic behavior of functions $\chi \in D^n_{\check{a}(\mu,s)}$ at the origin is given by

$$\chi(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} O(x^{1/2}),\ \varkappa \geq 1 \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} D\cos\mu(k_0x)^{1/2-\varkappa} + O(x^{1/2}), & \mu \in [0, \pi/2), \\ O(x^{1/2}),\ \mu = \pi/2, & \end{array} \right. ,\ 0 < \varkappa < 1 \end{array} \right. ,\ x \to 0. \tag{36}$$

We note that for $0 < \varkappa < 1$, the natural domain $D^n_{\check{a}(\mu,s)}$ for $\check{a}(\mu, s)$ with $\mu \in [0, \pi/2)$ can be represented as a direct sum of the form

$$D^n_{\check{a}(\mu,s)} = \{D\chi_0(\mu, s)\} + \tilde{D}^n_{\check{a}(\mu,s)},\ \mu \in [0, \pi/2),\ 0 < \varkappa < 1, \tag{37}$$

where the function $\chi_0(\mu, s; x)$ belonging to $D^n_{\breve{a}(\mu,s)}$ is given by

$$\chi_0(\mu, s; x) = \phi(\mu, s; x)\zeta\,(x)\,, \text{ so that } \breve{a}(\mu, s)\chi_0(\mu, s; x) = \phi(\mu, s; x)\zeta'\,(x)\,,$$

$\zeta\,(x)$ is a fixed smooth function equal to 1 in a neighborhood of the origin and equal to 0 for $x \geq x_\infty > 0$, and $\tilde{D}^n_{\breve{a}}$ is the subspace of functions belonging to $D^n_{\breve{a}}$ and vanishing at the origin:

$$\tilde{D}^n_{\breve{a}(\mu,s)} = \left\{\chi(x) \in D^n_{\breve{a}(\mu,s)} : \chi(x) = O(x^{1/2}),\ x \to 0\right\},\ \mu \in [0, \pi/2),\ 0 < \varkappa < 1. \tag{38}$$

The final result is given by

$$D_{b^+(\mu,s)} = \begin{cases} D^n_{\breve{a}(\mu,s)},\ ,\mu \in [0, \pi/2)\ \varkappa \geq 1, \text{ and } \mu = \pi/2,\ \varkappa > 0, \\ D^n_{\breve{a}\,(\mu,s)} \ = \{D\chi_0(\mu, s)\} + \tilde{D}^n_{\breve{a}(\mu,s)},\ \mu \in [0, \pi/2),\ 0 < \varkappa < 1, \end{cases} . \tag{39}$$

with $D^n_{\breve{a}(\mu,s)}$ given by (33) and with estimates (34) at infinity and (36) at the origin.

iii) The operator $\overline{\hat{a}}$ is evaluated in accordance with (25), $\overline{\hat{a}} = (\hat{a}^+)^+ \subseteq \hat{b}^+$: as a restriction of $\hat{b}^+$, this operator is associated with $\breve{a}$ and its domain belongs to or coincides with $D^n_{\breve{a}}$, while the defining equation for $\overline{\hat{a}}$ as $(\hat{a}^+)^+$,

$$(\chi, \hat{a}^+\psi) - (\overline{\hat{a}}\chi, \psi) = 0,\ \chi \in D_{\bar{a}},\ \forall\psi \in D^n_{\breve{b}},$$

is reduced to the equation for $D_{\bar{a}}$, i.e., for the functions $\chi \in D_{\bar{a}} \subseteq D^n_{\breve{a}}$, of the form

$$(\chi, \breve{b}\psi) - (\breve{a}\chi, \psi) = 0,\ \chi \in D_{\bar{a}} \subseteq D^n_{\breve{a}},\ \forall\psi \in D^n_{\breve{b}}. \tag{40}$$

Integrating by parts in $(\breve{a}\chi, \psi)$ and taking asymptotic estimates (27), (34) and (30), (36) into account, we establish that for $\mu \in [0, \pi/2),\ \varkappa \geq 1$and for $\mu = \pi/2,\ \varkappa > 0$, eq. (40) holds identically for all $\chi \in D^n_{\breve{a}(\mu,s)}$, while for $\mu \in [0, \pi/2),\ 0 < \varkappa < 1$, eq. (40) is reduced to

$$\overline{D}C = 0,\ \forall C,$$

which requires that $D = 0$.

We finally obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{\hat{a}}(\mu, s) = \hat{b}^+(\mu, s),\ \mu \in [0, \pi/2),\ \varkappa \geq 1 \text{ and } \mu = \pi/2,\ \varkappa > 0, \\ &\text{in particular, } D_{\bar{a}(\mu,s)} = D^n_{\breve{a}(\mu,s)}, \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

and

$$\overline{\hat{a}}(\mu, s) \subset \hat{b}^+(\mu, s),\ D_{\bar{a}(\mu,s)} = \ \tilde{D}^n_{\breve{a}(\mu,s)}\ (38), \mu \in [0, \pi/2),\ 0 < \varkappa < 1. \tag{42}$$

iv) Quite similarly, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{\hat{b}}(\mu, s) = \hat{a}^+(\mu, s),\ \mu \in [0, \pi/2),\ \varkappa \geq 1 \text{ and } \mu = \pi/2,\ \varkappa > 0, \\ &\text{in particular, } D_{\overline{b}(\mu,s)} = D^n_{\breve{b}(\mu,s)}, \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

and

$$\overline{\hat{b}}(\mu, s) \subset \hat{a}^+(\mu, s),\ D_{\overline{b}(\mu,s)} = \widetilde{D}^n_{\breve{b}(\mu,s)}\,(31),\ \ \mu \in [0, \pi/2),\ 0 < \varkappa < 1. \tag{44}$$

We note that equality (43) and inclusion (44) directly follow from the respective previous equality (41) and inclusion (42) by taking the adjoints, and only the domain $D_{\overline{b}(\mu,s)}$ in the last case has to be evaluated.

We thus show that each pair $\breve{a}(\mu,s)$, $\breve{b}(\mu,s)$ of mutually adjoint by Lagrange differential operations (16) providing generalized oscillator representation (17) for $\breve{H}$ (1) with $\alpha > -1/4$ ($\varkappa > 0$) generates a unique pair $\overline{\hat{a}}(\mu,s) = \hat{b}^{+}(\mu,s)$, $\hat{a}^{+}(\mu,s) = \overline{\hat{b}}(\mu,s)$ of closed mutually adjoint operators for $\mu \in [0,\pi/2)$, $s \in [0,\infty)$, $\varkappa \geq 1$ and for $\mu = \pi/2$, $s \in [0,\infty)$, $\varkappa > 0$, while for $\mu \in [0,\pi/2), s \in [0,\infty)$, $0 < \varkappa < 1$, each pair $\breve{a}(\mu,s)$, $\breve{b}(\mu,s)$ generates two different pairs $\overline{\hat{a}}(\mu,s)$, $\hat{a}^{+}(\mu,s)$ and $\hat{b}^{+}(\mu,s)$, $\overline{\hat{b}}(\mu,s)$ of closed mutually adjoint operators such that $\overline{\hat{a}}(\mu,s) \subset \hat{b}^{+}(\mu,s)$ and $\overline{\hat{b}}(\mu,s) \subset \hat{a}^{+}(\mu,s)$. The operators $\overline{\hat{a}}(\mu,s)$ and $\hat{b}^{+}(\mu,s)$ are extensions of the initial operator $\hat{a}$, they are associated with $\breve{a}$, and their domains are given by the respective (41), 42) and (39), (33). The operators $\overline{\hat{b}}(\mu,s)$ and $\hat{a}^{+}(\mu,s)$ are extensions of the initial operator $\hat{b}(\mu,s)$, they are associated with $\breve{b}$, and their domains are given by the respective (43), 44) and (32), (26).

It is easy to prove that there are no other pairs of closed mutually adjoint operators that are extensions of each pair $\hat{a}(\mu,s)$, $\hat{b}(\mu,s)$. Indeed, let $\hat{g}$, $\hat{g}^{+}$ be such a pair, then because $\overline{\hat{a}}(\mu,s)$ and $\overline{\hat{b}}(\mu,s)$ are minimum closed extensions of the respective $\hat{a}(\mu,s)$ and $\hat{b}(\mu,s)$, we have

$$\hat{a}(\mu,s) \subset \overline{\hat{a}}(\mu,s) \subseteq \hat{g} = \overline{\hat{g}} = (\,\hat{g}^{+})^{+},\, \hat{b}(\mu,s) \subset \overline{\hat{b}}\,(\mu,s) \subseteq \hat{g}^{+}.$$

It follows by taking the adjoints of these inclusions that

$$\hat{g}^{+} \subseteq \hat{a}^{+}(\mu,s),\, \hat{g} \subseteq \hat{b}^{+}(\mu,s),$$

so that we finally have

$$\overline{\hat{a}}(\mu,s) \subseteq \hat{g} \subseteq \hat{b}^{+}(\mu,s),$$

in particular,

$$D_{\overline{a}(\mu,s)} \subseteq D_{g} \subseteq D_{b^{+}(\mu,s)}.$$

It then directly follows from (41) that $\hat{g} = \overline{\hat{a}}(\mu,s) = \hat{b}^{+}(\mu,s)$ and therefore $\hat{g}^{+} = \overline{\hat{b}}(\mu,s) = \hat{a}^{+}(\mu,s)$ for $\mu \in [0,\pi/2)$, $s \in [0,\infty)$, $\varkappa \geq 1$ and for $\mu = \pi/2$, $s \in [0,\infty)$, $\varkappa > 0$, while for $\mu \in [0,\pi/2), s \in [0,\infty), 0 < \varkappa < 1$, it follows from (42), (37) that the domains $D_{b^{+}(\mu,s)} = D^{n}_{\breve{a}(\mu,s)}$ and $D_{\overline{a}(\mu,s)} = \tilde{D}^{n}_{\breve{a}(\mu,s)}$ differ by a one-dimensional subspace, so that either $\hat{g} = \overline{\hat{a}}(\mu,s)$, and therefore $\hat{g}^{+} = \hat{a}^{+}(\mu,s)$, or $\hat{g} = \hat{b}^{+}(\mu,s)$, and therefore $\hat{g}^{+} = \overline{\hat{b}}(\mu,s)$.

5 Point $\alpha = -1/4$ ($\varkappa = 0$)

A consideration in this section is completely similar to that in the previous section for the case of $\alpha > -1/4$ ($\varkappa > 0$). A difference with the previous case is that for $\alpha = -1/4$, the inclusion of the point $s = 0$ in the range of admissible s and getting the known oscillator representation for $\breve{H}$, see [2], from generalized oscillator representations (6) with $s > 0$ in the limit $s \to 0$ calls for special investigation.

We therefore distinguish the case of $s > 0$ and the case of $s = 0$.

5.1 Region $s > 0$

5.1.1 Generalized oscillator representations for $\check{H}$, differential operations $\check{a}$ and $\check{b}$

For the coupling constant $\alpha = -1/4$, we use form (12) with $\varkappa = 0$ of the general solution of eq. (10) with substitutions $A \to A\sqrt{k_0}$, $B \to B\sqrt{k_0}$,

$$\phi(s;x) = A\sqrt{k_0 x} I_0(sk_0 x) + B\sqrt{k_0 x} K_0(sk_0 x). \tag{45}$$

Because $\sqrt{k_0 x} I_0(sk_0 x)$ and $\sqrt{k_0 x} K_0(sk_0 x)$ are real-valued linearly independent solutions, a condition $\operatorname{Im}\phi(x) = 0$ requires that $\operatorname{Im} A = \operatorname{Im} B = 0$. The function $I_0(sk_0 x)$ monotonically increases from 1 at $x = 0$ to infinity as $x \to \infty$, while the function $K_0(sk_0 x)$ monotonically decreases from infinity at $x = 0$ to 0 as $x \to \infty$. It follows that $\phi(s;x)$ (45) is real valued and positive in $(0,\infty)$ iff $A \geq 0$, $B \geq 0$, $A + B > 0$. Once again, a common constant positive factor in coefficients A and B is irrelevant from the standpoint of generalized oscillator representation (6), (11) for $\check{H}$, so that we can set $A = \sin\mu$, $B = \cos\mu$, $\mu \in [0, \pi/2]$ without loss of generality.

As a result, we have a two-parameter family $\{\check{a}(\mu,s), \check{b}(\mu,s)\}$ of different pairs of mutually adjoint first-order differential operations,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\check{a}(\mu,s) = \check{b}^*(\mu,s) = d_x - h(\mu,s;x) = \phi(\mu,s;x) d_x \frac{1}{\phi(\mu,s;x)},\\
&\check{b}(\mu,s) = \check{a}^*(\mu,s) = -d_x - h(\mu,s;x) = -\frac{1}{\phi(\mu,s;x)} d_x \phi(\mu,s;x),\\
&h(\mu,s;x) = \frac{\phi'(\mu,s;x)}{\phi(\mu,s;x)},\\
&\phi(\mu,s;x) = \sin\mu\sqrt{k_0 x} I_0(sk_0 x) + \cos\mu\sqrt{k_0 x} K_0(sk_0 x),\\
&\mu \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}],\ s > 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

providing a two-parameter family of different generalized oscillator representations (6) for $\check{H}$ (1) with $\alpha = -1/4$,

$$\check{H} = \check{b}(\mu,s)\check{a}(\mu,s) - (sk_0)^2. \tag{47}$$

As before, we indicate the ranges of parameters μ and s in formulas to follow only if they differ from the whole ranges, these are $[0,\pi/2]$ for μ and $(0,\infty)$ for s. In the main resulting formulas, we indicate the ranges of the both parameters.

For the asymptotic behavior of the functions $\phi(\mu,s;x)$ and the functions $1/\phi(\mu,s;x)$ at the origin, which we need below, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi(\mu,s;x) = \left\{\begin{array}{l} \tilde{A}(\mu,s)\sqrt{\kappa_0 x} - \cos\mu\sqrt{\kappa_0 x}\ln(\kappa_0 x) + O(x^{5/2}\ln x)),\ \mu \in [0,\pi/2) \\ \sqrt{\kappa_0 x} + O(x^{5/2}),\ \mu = \pi/2 \end{array}\right.,\ x \to 0,\\
&\tilde{A}(\mu,s) = \sin\mu + \cos\mu[\psi(1) - \ln(s/2)]
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\phi(\mu,s;x)} = \left\{\begin{array}{l} -\frac{1}{\cos\mu\sqrt{\kappa_0 x}\ln(\kappa_0 x)}\frac{1}{1-\tilde{A}(\mu,s)/[\cos\mu\ln(\kappa_0 x)]} + O(x^{3/2}/\ln x),\ \mu \in [0,\pi/2) \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa_0 x}} + O(x^{3/2}),\ \mu = \pi/2, \end{array}\right.,\ x \to 0.$$

For the respective functions $h(\mu,s;x)=\phi'(\mu,s;x)/\phi(\mu,s;x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
h(\mu,s;x) &= \frac{1}{2x}+(sk_0)\frac{\sin\mu\, I_1(sk_0x)-\cos\mu\, K_1(sk_0x)}{\sin\mu\ I_0(sk_0x)+\cos\mu\ K_0(sk_0x)},\\
h(\mu,s;x) &= \left\{\begin{array}{l} sk_0+O(x^{-1}),\ \mu\in[0,\pi/2) \\ -sk_0+O(x^{-1}),\ \mu=0, \end{array}\right. ,\ x\to\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

5.1.2 Initial operators $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$

We introduce the initial differential operators $\hat{a}(\mu,s)$ and $\hat{b}(\mu,s)$ associated with each pair of the respective differential operations $\check{a}(\mu,s)$ and $\check{b}(\mu,s)$ (46) and defined on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. These operators and the initial symmetric operator $\hat{H}$ with $\alpha=-1/4$ have the properties that are copies of (21) and (22), with the change $s\in[0,\infty)$ to $s\in(0,\infty)$, which provides a basis for constructing generalized oscillator representations similar to (23), (24) for all s.a. Calogero Hamiltonians $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}$ with $\alpha=-1/4$ by constructing all possible extensions of any pair $\hat{a}(\mu,s)$, $\hat{b}(\mu,s)$ of initial operators to a pair of closed mutually adjoint operators.

A procedure for extending presented below is completely similar to that in the previous section for the case of $\alpha>-1/4\,(\varkappa>0)$. Once again, we often omit the arguments μ and s of operators $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ for brevity writing them when needed.

5.1.3 Adjoint operators $\hat{a}^+$ and $\hat{b}^+$, closed operators $\overline{\hat{a}}$ and $\overline{\hat{b}}$

Using arguments similar to those in subsec.4.3, we prove that the operators $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ have adjoints, the respective $\hat{a}^+$ and $\hat{b}^+$, and closures, the respective $\overline{\hat{a}}$ and $\overline{\hat{b}}$, which form the chains of inclusions similar to (25),

$$\hat{a}\subset\overline{\hat{a}}=(\hat{a}^+)^+\subseteq\hat{b}^+,\ \hat{b}\subset\overline{\hat{b}}\ =\ (\hat{b}^+)^+\subseteq\hat{a}^+. \tag{49}$$

An evaluation of the operators $\hat{a}^+$, $\hat{b}^+$, $\overline{\hat{a}}$, and $\overline{\hat{b}}$ is completely similar to that in subsec. 4.4 for the case of $\alpha>-1/4$. The operators $\overline{\hat{b}}$ and $\hat{a}^+$ are associated with the differential operation $\check{b}=\check{a}^*$, while the operators $\overline{\hat{a}}$ and $\hat{b}^+$ are associated with the differential operation $\check{a}=\check{b}^*$.

i) The domain of the operator $\hat{a}^+$ is the natural domain for $\check{b}$, $D_{a^+}=D^n_{\check{b}}$, given by a copy of (26). A generic function ψ belonging to $D^n_{\check{b}}$ allows the representation

$$\begin{gathered}
\psi(x)=\frac{1}{\phi(\mu,s;x)}\left[C-\int_0^x dy\,\phi(\mu,s;y)\eta(y)\right],\ \eta(x)=\check{b}\psi(x)\in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+),\\
C \text{ is an arbitrary constant for } \mu\in[0,\pi/2),\ C=0 \text{ for} \mu=\pi/2.
\end{gathered}$$

The asymptotic behavior of functions $\psi\in D^n_{\check{b}}$ at infinity and at the origin is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\psi(x)\to 0,\ x\to\infty,\\
&\psi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l} -\frac{C}{\cos\mu}\frac{1}{\sqrt{k_0x}\ln(k_0x)}[1+O(\frac{1}{\ln(k_0x)})]+O(x^{1/2}),\ \ \mu\in[0,\pi/2) \\ O(x^{1/2}),\ \mu=\pi/2 \end{array}\right. ,\ x\to 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

The natural domain $D^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)}$ for $\check{b}(\mu,s)$ with $\mu\in[0,\pi/2)$ can be represented as a direct sum of the form

$$D^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)}=\{C\psi_0(\mu,s)\}+\tilde{D}^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)},\;\mu\in[0,\pi/2),$$

where the function $\psi_0\ (\mu,s;x)$ belonging to $D^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)}$ is given by

$$\psi_0(\mu,s;x)=\frac{1}{\phi(\mu,s;x)}\zeta\left(x\right),\text{ so that }\check{b}(\mu,s)\psi_0(\mu,s;x)=-\frac{1}{\phi(\mu,s;x)}\zeta'\left(x\right),$$

$\zeta\left(x\right)$ is a fixed smooth function equal to 1 in a neighborhood of the origin and equal to 0 for $x\geq x_\infty>0$, and $\tilde{D}^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)}$ is the subspace of functions belonging to $D^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)}$ and vanishing at the origin:

$$\tilde{D}^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)}=\left\{\psi(x)\in D^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)}:\,\psi(x)=O(x^{1/2}),\,x\to0\right\},\,\mu\in[0,\pi/2).\tag{51}$$

The final result is given by

$$D_{a^+(\mu,s)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}D^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)}=\{C\psi_0(\mu,s)\}+\tilde{D}^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)},\;\mu\in[0,\pi/2),\\ D^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)},\;\mu=\pi/2,\end{array}\right.\tag{52}$$

with $D^n_{\check{b}(\mu,s)}$ given by a copy of (26) and with estimates (50) at infinity and at the origin.

ii) The domain of the operator $\hat{b}^+$ is the natural domain for $\check{a}$, $D_{b^+}=D^n_{\check{a}}$, given by a copy of (33). A generic function χ belonging to $D^n_{\check{a}}$ allows the representation

$$\chi(x)=\phi(\mu,s;x)\left[D+\int_{x_0}^x dy\frac{1}{\phi(\mu,s;y)}\eta(y)\right],\;\eta(x)=\check{a}\chi(x)\in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+),$$
$$x_0=0\text{ for }\mu\in[0,\pi/2),\,x_0>0\text{ for }\mu=\pi/2,\,D\text{ is an arbitrary constant.}\tag{53}$$

The asymptotic behavior of functions $\chi\in D^n_{\check{a}}$ at infinity and at the origin is given by

$$\chi(x)\to0,\,x\to\infty,$$
$$\chi(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}-D\cos\mu\sqrt{k_0x}\ln(k_0x)+O(x^{1/2}\ln^{1/2}(\frac{1}{x})),\,\mu\in[0,\pi/2)\\ O(x^{1/2}\ln^{1/2}(\frac{1}{x})),\;\mu=\pi/2\end{array}\right.,\;x\to0.\tag{54}$$

The natural domain $D^n_{\check{a}(\mu,s)}$ for $\check{a}(\mu,s)$ with $\mu\in[0,\pi/2)$ can be represented as a direct sum of the form

$$D^n_{\check{a}(\mu,s)}=\{D\chi_0(\mu,s)\}+\tilde{D}^n_{\check{a}(\mu,s)},\;\mu\in[0,\pi/2),$$

where the function$\chi_0(\mu,s;x)$ belonging to $D^n_{\check{a}(\mu,s)}$ is given by

$$\chi_0(\mu,s;x)=\phi(\mu,s;x)\zeta\left(x\right),\text{ so that }\;\check{a}(\mu,s)\chi_0(\mu,s;x)=\phi(\mu,s;x)\zeta'\left(x\right),$$

$\zeta\left(x\right)$ is a fixed smooth function equal to 1 in a neighborhood of the origin and equal to 0 for $x\geq x_\infty>0$, and $\tilde{D}^n_{\check{a}(\mu,s)}$ is the subspace of functions belonging to $D^n_{\check{a}(\mu,s)}$ and vanishing at the origin:

$$\tilde{D}^n_{\check{a}(\mu,s)}=\left\{\psi(x)\in D^n_{\check{a}(\mu,s)}:\,\chi(x)=O(x^{1/2}\ln^{1/2}(\frac{1}{x})),\,x\to0\right\},\,\mu\in[0,\pi/2).\tag{55}$$

The final result is given by

$$D_{b^+(\mu,s)} = \begin{cases} D^n_{\breve{a}(\mu,s)} = \{D\chi_0(\mu,s)\} + \tilde{D}^n_{\breve{a}(\mu,s)},\ \mu \in [0,\pi/2), \\ D^n_{\breve{a}(\mu,s)},\ \mu = \pi/2, \end{cases} \tag{56}$$

with $D^n_{\breve{a}(\mu,s)}$ given by a copy of (33) and with estimates (54) at infinity and at the origin.

iii) The operator $\overline{\hat{a}}$ is evaluated in accordance with (49), $\overline{\hat{a}} = (\hat{a}^+)^+ \subseteq \hat{b}^+$: as a restriction of $\hat{b}^+$, this operator is associated with $\breve{a}$ and its domain belongs to or coincides with $D^n_{\breve{a}}$, while the defining equation for $\overline{\hat{a}}$ as $(\hat{a}^+)^+$ is reduced to the equation for its domain $D_{\bar{a}} \subseteq D^n_{\breve{a}}$ of the form

$$(\chi, \breve{b}\psi) - (\breve{a}\chi, \psi) = 0,\ \chi \in D_{\bar{a}} \subseteq D^n_{\breve{a}},\ \forall\psi \in D^n_{\breve{b}}. \tag{57}$$

Integrating by parts in $(\breve{a}\chi, \psi)$ and taking asymptotic estimates (50) and (54) into account, we establish that for $\mu = \pi/2$, eq. (57) holds identically for all $\chi \in D^n_{\breve{a}(\pi/2,s)}$, while for $\mu \in [0,\pi/2)$, eq. (57) is reduced to

$$\overline{D}C = 0,\ \forall C,$$

which requires that $D = 0$.

We finally obtain that

$$\overline{\hat{a}}(\pi/2,s) = \hat{b}^+(\pi/2,s),\ \text{in particular},\ D_{\bar{a}(\pi/2,s)} = D^n_{\breve{a}(\pi/2,s)}, \tag{58}$$

and

$$\overline{\hat{a}}(\mu,s) \subset \hat{b}^+(\mu,s),\ D_{\bar{a}(\mu,s)} = \ \tilde{D}^n_{\breve{a}(\mu,s)}\ \mu \in [0,\pi/2). \tag{59}$$

iv) Quite similarly, we find

$$\overline{\hat{b}}(\pi/2,s) = \hat{a}^+(\pi/2,s),\ \text{in particular},\ D_{\overline{b}(\pi/2,s)} = D^n_{\breve{b}(\pi/2,s)}, \tag{60}$$

and

$$\overline{\hat{b}}(\mu,s) \subset \hat{a}^+(\mu,s),\ D_{\overline{b}(\mu,s)} = \widetilde{D}^n_{\breve{b}(\mu,s)},\ \ \mu \in [0,\pi/2). \tag{61}$$

We note that equality (60) and inclusion (61) directly follow from the respective previous equality (58) and inclusion (59) by taking the adjoints, and only the domain $D_{\overline{b}(\mu,s)}$ in the last case has to be evaluated.

We thus show that each pair $\breve{a}(\mu,s)$, $\breve{b}(\mu,s)$ of mutually adjoint by Lagrange differential operations (46) providing generalized oscillator representations (47) for $\check{H}$ (1) with $\alpha = -1/4$ ($\varkappa = 0$) generates a unique pair $\overline{\hat{a}}(\pi/2,s) = \hat{b}^+(\pi/2,s)$, $\hat{a}^+(\pi/2,s) = \overline{\hat{b}}(\pi/2,s)$ of closed mutually adjoint operators for $\mu = \pi/2$, $s \in (0,\infty)$, while for $\mu \in [0,\pi/2)$, $s \in (0,\infty)$, each pair $\breve{a}(\mu,s)$, $\breve{b}(\mu,s)$ generates two different pairs $\overline{\hat{a}}(\mu,s)$, $\hat{a}^+(\mu,s)$ and $\hat{b}^+(\mu,s), \overline{\hat{b}}(\mu,s)$ of closed mutually adjoint operators such that $\overline{\hat{a}}(\mu,s) \subset \hat{b}^+(\mu,s)$ and $\overline{\hat{b}}(\mu,s) \subset \hat{a}^+(\mu,s)$. The operators $\overline{\hat{a}}(\mu,s)$ and $\hat{b}^+(\mu,s)$ are extensions of the initial operator $\hat{a}$, they are associated with $\breve{a}$, and their domains are given by the respective (58), 59) and (56), the operators $\overline{\hat{b}}(\mu,s)$ and $\hat{a}^+(\mu,s)$ are extensions of the initial operator $\hat{b}(\mu,s)$, they are associated with $\breve{b}$, and their domains are given by the respective (60), 61) and (52).

Using arguments similar to those in subsec. 4.4, it is easy to prove that there are no other pairs of closed mutually adjoint operators that are extensions of each pair $\hat{a}(\mu,s)$, $\hat{b}(\mu,s)$.

5.2 Point $s = 0$

In this case, the general real-valued solution of eq. (10) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi(x) = A\sqrt{k_0 x} + B\sqrt{k_0 x}\ln(k_0 x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} B\sqrt{k_0 x}\ln(\sigma k_0 x),\ B \neq 0 \\ A\sqrt{k_0 x},\ B = 0 \end{array} \right. , \\ &\sigma = e^{A/B},\ \operatorname{Im} A = \operatorname{Im} B = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

It is evident that the real-valued $\phi(x)$ (62) is positive in $(0, \infty)$ iff $A > 0$, $B = 0$. Because a constant positive factor is irrelevant from the standpoint of generalized oscillator representation (6), (11) for $\check{H}$, we can set $A = 1$without loss of generality.

As a result, we have a unique pair $\check{a}, \check{b}$ of mutually adjoint first-order differential operations,

$$\begin{aligned} &\check{a} = \check{b}^* = d_x - \frac{1}{2x} = \phi(x) d_x \frac{1}{\phi(x)}, \\ &\check{b} = \check{a}^* = -d_x - \frac{1}{2x} = -\frac{1}{\phi(x)} d_x \phi(x), \\ &h(\mu, s; x) = \frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)} = \frac{1}{2x},\ \phi(x) = \sqrt{k_0 x}, \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

providing unique oscillator representation (6) with $s = 0$ for $\check{H}$ with $\alpha = -1/4$,

$$\check{H} = \check{b}\check{a}. \tag{64}$$

We then introduce the initial operators $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ associated with the respective $\check{a}$ and $\check{b}$ and construct the pairs of operators $\overline{\hat{a}}$, $\hat{a}^+$ and $\overline{\hat{b}}$, $\hat{b}^+$ as all possible extensions of the pair $\hat{a}$, $\hat{b}$ to a pair of mutually adjoint closed operators. A procedure follows the standard way adopted in the previous subsection. The result can be formulated as follows.

It is easy to see that formulas (63) and (64) can be obtained from formulas (46) and (47) by setting $\mu = \pi/2$ and taking the limit $s \to 0$. Moreover, we can verify that all the results concerning the properties of operators $\hat{a}^+$, $\hat{b}^+$, $\overline{\hat{a}}$, $\overline{\hat{b}}$, including their domains and the equalities $\overline{\hat{a}} = \hat{b}^+$, $\overline{\hat{b}} = \hat{a}^+$, can be obtained from the corresponding results for the operators $\hat{a}^+(\mu, s)$, $\hat{b}^+(\mu, s)$, $\overline{\hat{a}}(\mu, s)$, $\overline{\hat{b}}(\mu, s)$ in the preceding subsection by setting $\mu = \pi/2$ and taking the limit $s \to 0$, so that we can set $\hat{a}^+ = \hat{a}^+(\pi/2, 0)$, $\hat{b}^+ = \hat{b}^+(\pi/2, 0)$, $\overline{\hat{a}} = \overline{\hat{a}}(\pi/2, 0)$, $\overline{\hat{b}} = \overline{\hat{b}}(\pi/2, 0)$.

The final conclusion of this section on the case of the coupling constant $\alpha = -1/4$ is that the results of the previous subsection for the operators $\hat{a}^+(\mu, s)$, $\hat{b}^+(\mu, s)$, $\overline{\hat{a}}(\mu, s)$, $\overline{\hat{b}}(\mu, s)$, where $\mu \in [0, \pi/2]$, $s \in (0, \infty)$, actually hold for $\mu \in [0, \pi/2)$, $s \in (0, \infty)$ and for $\mu = \pi/2$, $s \in [0, \infty)$.

6 Oscillator representations

Now we are in a position to answer the question on generalized oscillator representations

$$\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}} = \hat{c}^+\hat{c} - (sk_0)^2\hat{I},\ s \geq 0, \tag{65}$$

or equivalently

$$\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}} = \hat{d}\,\hat{d}^{+} - (sk_0)^2\hat{I},\ s \geq 0, \tag{66}$$

where $\hat{c}$ and $\hat{d}$ are densely defined closed first-order differential operators and $\hat{c}^{+}$ and $\hat{d}^{+}$ are their respective adjoints, for all Calogero Hamiltonians $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}$ with any coupling constant $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$. We recall that any $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}$ is a s.a. operator associated with Calogero differential operation $\check{H}$ (1) with the same α. An answer to the question is essentially different for the region $\alpha < -1/4$ and for the region $\alpha \geq -1/4$.

We can say immediately that any Calogero Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}$ with $\alpha < -1/4$ does not allow generalized oscillator representations (65) or (66) because such a representation would imply that $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}}$ is bounded from below, whereas any Calogero Hamiltonian with $\alpha < -1/4$ is not bounded from below [5]. This conclusion is in complete agreement with that according to sec. 3, there is no generalized oscillator representation (6), (11) for Calogero differential operation $\check{H}$ (1) with $\alpha < -1/4$.

As to the second region of the couling constant $\alpha \geq -1/4$, we show in what follows that any Calogero Hamiltonian with $\alpha \geq 1/4$ does allow generalized oscillator representations (65) or (66), in fact, a family of such representations, one- or two-parameter. In accordance with the program formulated in Introduction and according to the above results, we have two families of Calogero Hamiltonians in a generalized oscillator form,

$$\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}a(\mu,s)} = \hat{a}^{+}(\mu,s)\overline{\hat{a}}(\mu,s) - (sk_0)^2\hat{I},\ \mu \in [0,\pi/2],\ s \in [0,\infty), \tag{67}$$

and

$$\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}b(\mu,s)} = \overline{\hat{b}}\,(\mu,s)\hat{b}^{+}(\mu,s) - (sk_0)^2\hat{I}, \mu \in [0,\pi/2],\ s \in [0,\infty), \tag{68}$$

for any $\alpha \geq -1/4$. It turns out that these families cover all the set of the known Calogero Hamiltonians with given $\alpha \geq -1/4$. Namely, each Calogero Hamiltonian with given $\alpha \geq -1/4$ can be identified with one or more members of family (67) or family (68). This identification is trivial in the case of $\alpha \geq 3/4$ where there is a unique Calogero Hamiltonian with given α. In the case of α such that $-1/4 \leq \alpha < 3/4$, the procedure of identification is more complicated. We recall that for each $\alpha \in [-1/4, 3/4)$, there exists a one-parameter family $\{\hat{H}_\nu,\ \nu \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]\}$ of Calogero Hamiltonians differing in their domains D_{H_ν}[5]. Namely, the domains D_{H_ν} are subspaces of the natural domain $D^n_{\check{H}}$ for $\check{H}$ that are specified by different s.a. asymptotic boundary conditions at the origin..Therefore, the identification of a given $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}a(\mu,s)}$ with a certain $\hat{H}_\nu$ goes through evaluating the asymptotic behavior of functions belonging to the domain of $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}a(\mu,s)}$ and its identification with the boundary conditions for this $\hat{H}_\nu$; the same holds for $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}b(\mu,s)}$. It may happen, and that really occurs, that different $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}a(\mu,s)}$ or $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}b(\mu,s)}$ have the same asymptotic behavior of functions belonging to their domains.

We first consider the Hamiltonians $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}a}$.

6.1 Hamiltonians $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}a}$

6.1.1 Region $\alpha \geq 3/4$ ($\varkappa \geq 1$)

For each α in this region, there exists only one s.a. Calogero Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_1$ defined on the natural domain $D^n_{\check{H}}$, see. [5]. That is why we can immediately conclude that

$$\hat{H}_1 = \hat{a}^{+}(\mu,s)\overline{\hat{a}}(\mu,s) - (sk_0)^2\hat{I},\ \forall\mu \in [0,\pi/2], \forall s \in [0,\infty),\ \varkappa \geq 1, \tag{69}$$

which represents a two-parameter family of oscillator representations for a unique Calogero Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_1$ with given coupling constant $\alpha \geq 3/4$.

Taking $s = 0$ in the r.h.s of (69), we obtain the known one-parameter family of oscillator representations for the nonnegative $\hat{H}_1$ [2] which are the optimum representations from the standpoint of an optimum estimate on its spectrum from below.

According to [5], the spectrum of $\hat{H}_1$ is given by spec$\hat{H}_1 = [0, \infty)$ and is continuous, which agrees with that $\ker \overline{a}(\mu, s) = \{0\}$, $\forall \mu$, $\forall s$.

6.1.2 Region $-1/4 < \alpha < 3/4$ $(0 < \varkappa < 1)$

By definition of the operator $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}a(\mu,s)}$, its domain belongs to or coincides with the domain of the operator $\overline{a}(\mu, s)$, $D_{H_{\mathfrak{e}a(\mu,s)}} \subseteq D_{\overline{a}(\mu,s)}$ given by (41) for $\mu = \pi/2$ and (42) for $\mu \in [0, \pi/2)$. According to (36) and (38), the asymptotic behavior of functions χ belonging to $D_{\overline{a}(\mu,s)}$ is estimated for the case of $0 < \varkappa < 1$ by $\chi = O(x^{1/2})$ as $x \to 0$. It follows that the functions belonging to $D_{H_{\mathfrak{e}a(\mu,s)}}$ tend to zero not weaker than $x^{1/2}$ as $x \to 0$.

According to [5], there exists only one s.a. Calogero Hamiltonian with such asymptotic behavior of functions belonging to its domain at the origin, namely, $\hat{H}_{2,\pm\pi/2}$. We thus establish that

$$\hat{H}_{2,\pm\pi/2} = \hat{a}^{+}(\mu, s)\overline{a}(\mu, s) - (sk_0)^2\hat{I}, \ \forall \mu \in [0, \pi/2], \forall s \in [0, \infty), \ 0 < \varkappa < 1, \tag{70}$$

which represents a two-parameter family of oscillator representations for a unique Calogero Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{2,\pm\pi/2}$ with given coupling constant $\alpha \in (-1/4 < \alpha < 3/4)$.

Taking $s = 0$ in the r.h.s of (70), we obtain the known one-parameter family of oscillator representations for the nonnegative $\hat{H}_{2,\pm\pi/2}$ [2] which are the optimum representations from the standpoint of an optimum estimate on its spectrum from below.

According to [5], the spectrum of $\hat{H}_{2,\pm\pi/2}$ is given by spec$\hat{H}_{2,\pm\pi/2} = [0, \infty)$ and is continuous, which agrees with that $\ker \overline{a}(\mu, s) = \{0\}$, $\forall \mu$, $\forall s$.

6.1.3 Point $\alpha = -1/4$ $(\varkappa = 0)$

A reasoning in this case is completely similar to that in the previous case of $-1/4 < \alpha < 3/4$.

By definition of the operator $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}a(\mu,s)}$, its domain $D_{H_{\mathfrak{e}a(\mu,s)}}$ belongs to or coincides with the domain $D_{\overline{a}(\mu,s)}$ given by (58) for $\mu = \pi/2$, the point $s = 0$ included, see subsec. 5.2, and (59) for $\mu \in [0, \pi/2)$. According to (54) and (55), the asymptotic behavior of functions χ belonging to $D_{\overline{a}(\mu,s)}$ is estimated by $\chi = O(x^{1/2} \ln^{1/2} \frac{1}{x})$ as $x \to 0$. It follows that the functions belonging to $D_{H_{\mathfrak{e}a(\mu,s)}}$ tend to zero not weaker than $x^{1/2} \ln^{1/2} \frac{1}{x}$ as $x \to 0$.

According to [5], there exists only one s.a. Calogero Hamiltonian with such asymptotic behavior of functions belonging to its domain at the origin, namely, $\hat{H}_{3,\pm\pi/2}$. We thus establish that

$$\hat{H}_{3,\pm\pi/2} = \hat{a}^{+}(\mu, s)\overline{a}(\mu, s) - (sk_0)^2\hat{I}, \ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall \mu \in [0, \pi/2), \forall s > 0 \\ \mu = \pi/2, \forall s \geq 0 \end{array} \right. , \ \varkappa = 0, \tag{71}$$

which represents a two-parameter family of oscillator representations for a unique Calogero Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{3,\pm\pi/2}$ with coupling constant $\alpha = -1/4$.

Taking $\mu = \pi/2$, $s = 0$ in the r.h.s of (71), we obtain the known oscillator representation for the nonnegative $\hat{H}_{3,\pm\pi/2}$ [2] which is the optimum representation from the standpoint of an optimum estimate on its spectrum from below.

According to [5], the spectrum of $\hat{H}_{3,\pm\pi/2}$ is given by spec$\hat{H}_{3,\pm\pi/2} = [0,\infty)$ and is continuous, which agrees with that $\ker \overline{\hat{a}}(\mu,s) = \{0\}$, $\forall\mu$, $\forall s$.

6.2 Hamiltonians $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}b}$

6.2.1 Region $\alpha \geq 3/4$ ($\varkappa \geq 1$)

For each α in this region, we have the identities $\overline{\hat{b}}(\mu,s) = \hat{a}^{+}(\mu,s)$ and $\hat{b}^{+}(\mu,s) = \overline{\hat{a}}(\mu,s)$, see (43) and (41), so that with taking into account subsubsec. 6.1.1, formula (69), we find

$$\hat{H}_1 = \overline{\hat{b}}(\mu,s)\hat{b}^{+}(\mu,s) - (sk_0)^2\hat{I},\ \forall\mu \in [0,\pi/2],\ \forall s \geq 0, \varkappa \geq 1, \tag{72}$$

which is another representation of the known two-parameter family of oscillator representations (69) for $\hat{H}_1$ followed by an appropriate comment.

6.2.2 Region $-1/4 < \alpha < 3/4$ ($0 < \varkappa < 1$), $\mu = \pi/2$

A reasoning concerning $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}b}$ in this case is completely similar to that in the previous subsubsection for the case of $\alpha \geq 3/4$. According to (43) and (41), we have the identities $\overline{\hat{b}}(\pi/2,s) = \hat{a}^{+}(\pi/2,s)$ and $\hat{b}^{+}(\pi/2,s) = \overline{\hat{a}}(\pi/2,s)$, so that with taking into account subsubsec. 6.1.2, formula (70), we find

$$\hat{H}_{2,\pm\pi/2} = \overline{\hat{b}}(\pi/2,s)\hat{b}^{+}(\pi/2,s) - (sk_0)^2\hat{I},\ \forall s \geq 0, 0 < \varkappa < 1, \tag{73}$$

which is another representation of the one-parameter family of oscillator representations for $\hat{H}_{2,\pm\pi/2}$ that is a restriction of the known two-parameter-family of oscillator representations (70) to $\mu = \pi/2$. Of course, the comment following (70) holds.

6.2.3 $-1/4 < \alpha < 3/4$ ($0 < \varkappa < 1$), $0 \leq \mu < \pi/2$

We have to find the asymptotic behavior of functions belonging to the domain $D_{H_{\mathfrak{e}b(\mu,s)}}$ of the operator $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}b(\mu,s)}$, $\mu \in [0,\pi/2)$, $s \in [0,\infty)$, $\varkappa \in (0,1)$, at the origin.

We begin with representing the asymptotic behavior of functions $\phi(\mu,s;x)$ (16), $\mu \in [0,\pi/2)$, $s \in [0,\infty)$, $\varkappa \in (0,1)$, at the origin.given in (18) in a new form:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\phi(\mu,s;x) = c[(k_0x)^{1/2+\varkappa}\sin\theta(\mu,s) + (k_0x)^{1/2-\varkappa}\cos\theta(\mu,s)] + O(x^{5/2-\varkappa}),\ ,x \to 0,\\
&\tan\ theta(\mu,s) = \tan\mu - \frac{\Gamma(1-\varkappa)}{\Gamma(1+\varkappa)}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{2\varkappa},\ c = \frac{\cos\mu}{\cos\theta(\mu,s)},\\
&\mu \in [0,\frac{\pi}{2}),\ s \in [0,\infty)\,,\ \varkappa \in (0,1),\ \theta \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By definition of the operator $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{e}b(\mu,s)}$, its domain $D_{H_{\mathfrak{e}b(\mu,s)}}$ consists of functions $\chi \in D_{b^{+}(\mu,s)}$ such that $\hat{b}^{+}(\mu,s)\chi = \eta \in D_{\overline{b}(\mu,s)} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}_{+})$. The first condition implies that χ allows representation (35) with $x_0 = 0$ and, in general, $D \neq 0$, while the second condition implies that $\eta(x) = O(x^{1/2}),\ x \to 0$, see (44), (31). Estimating the integral term in (35) with such η, we obtain that the asymptotic behavior of functions $\chi \in D_{H_{\mathfrak{e}b(\mu,s)}}$, $\mu \in [0,\pi/2)$, $s \in [0,\infty)$, $\varkappa \in (0,1)$, at the origin is given by

$$\chi(x) = C[(k_0x)^{1/2+\varkappa}\sin\theta(\mu,s) + (k_0x)^{1/2-\varkappa}\cos\theta(\mu,s)] + O(x^{3/2}),\ x \to 0. \tag{74}$$

According to [5], for each $\alpha \in (-1/4, 3/4)$ ($\varkappa \in (0,1)$), there is a one-parameter family of s.a. Calogero Hamiltonians with such asymptotic behavior of functions belonging to their domains, namely , the family $\{\hat{H}_{2,\nu},\ \nu \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}\right)\}$. The parameter ν is naturally identified with the angle $\theta(\mu,s)$ in (74), and we establish that

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{H}_{2,\nu} = \overline{\hat{b}}(\mu,s)\hat{b}^{+}(\mu,s) - (sk_0)^2,\ \nu = .\theta(\mu,s),\\ &\nu \in (-\pi/2,\pi/2),\ \mu \in [0,\pi/2),\ s \in [0,\infty),\ \varkappa \in (0,1),\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

which represents a one-parameter family of generalized oscillator representations for $\hat{H}_{2,\nu}$ with given $\alpha \in (-1/4,3/4)$, $(0 < \varkappa < 1)$, and $\nu \in (-\pi/2,\pi/2)$.

It is convenient to take μ as the independent parameter, then s is easily determined from the relation $\tan\vartheta(\mu,s) = \tan\nu$ to yield

$$\begin{aligned}&s = s(\mu,\nu) = 2\left[(\tan\mu - \tan\nu)\frac{\Gamma(1+\varkappa)}{\Gamma(1-\varkappa)}\right]^{1/2\varkappa},\\ &\nu \in (-\pi/2,\pi/2),\ \mu \in [0,\pi/2),\ \varkappa \in (0,1),\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

with due regard to the condition $s \geq 0$. For fixed ν, the function $s(\mu,\nu)$ (76) is monotonically increasing from $s_{\min}(\nu)$ to ∞ as μ ranges admissible values from $\mu_{\min}(\nu)$ to $\pi/2 - 0$, where

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\mu_{\min}(\nu) = \nu,\ s_{\min}(\nu) = 0,\ 0 \leq \nu < \pi/2,\\ \mu_{\min}(\nu) = 0,\ s_{\min}(\nu) = 2\left[\tan|\nu|\frac{\Gamma(1+\varkappa)}{\Gamma(1-\varkappa)}\right]^{1/2\varkappa},\ -\pi/2 <\ \nu < 0\end{array}\right. .$$

It is evident that the spectrum of $\hat{H}_{2,\nu}$ is bounded from below by $-(s_{\min}(\nu)k_0)^2$.

If $0 \leq \nu < \pi/2$, this boundary is zero, and according to [5], this is an exact lower boundary of the spectrum, $\mathrm{Spec}\hat{H}_{2,\nu} = [0,\infty)$, and the spectrum is continuous, which agrees with that $\ker\hat{b}^{+}(\mu, s(\nu,\mu)) = \{0\}$, $\forall\mu \in [\nu,\pi/2)$.

Taking $\mu = \mu_{\min}(\nu) = \nu$ and $s = s(\mu_{\min}(\nu),\nu) = s_{\min}(\nu) = 0$, we obtain the known oscillator representation for the nonnegative $\hat{H}_{2,\nu}$, $0 \leq \nu < \pi/2$, [2], which is the optimum representation.

If $-\pi/2 < \nu < 0$, we have $\ker\hat{b}^{+}(0, s_{\min}(\nu)) = \{c\phi(0, s_{\min}(\nu); x)\} \neq \{0\}$ because $\phi(0, s_{\min}(\nu); x) = K_{\varkappa}(s_{\min}(\nu)k_0x)$ is square integrable on the whole semiaxis $\mathbb{R}_+$, whereas $\ker\hat{b}^{+}(\mu, s(\mu,\nu)) = \{0\},\ 0 < \mu < \pi/2$. This implies that

$$-(s_{\min}(\nu)k_0)^2 = -4k_0^2\left|\tan\nu\frac{\Gamma(1+\varkappa)}{\Gamma(1-\varkappa)}\right|^{1/\varkappa} = E_2(\nu)$$

is an exact lower boundary of the spectrum of $\hat{H}_{2,\nu}$, $E_2(\nu)$ is an eigenvalue of $\hat{H}_{2,\nu}$, the energy of its negative ground level, and the normalized eigenfunction of the ground state is given by

$$U_2(\nu,x)=\sqrt{\frac{2\sin(\pi\varkappa)\,|E_2\,(\nu)\,|}{\pi\varkappa}}\,x^{1/2}K_{\varkappa}(|E_2\,(\nu)\,|^{1/2}x).$$

According to [5], the spectrum of $\hat{H}_{2,\nu}$ is given by $\mathrm{Spec}\hat{H}_{2,\nu}=\{E_2(\nu)\}\cup[0,\infty)$, and the semiaxis $[0,\infty)$ is a continous part of the spectrum.

Setting $\mu=0$, $s=s_{\min}(\nu)$ in (75), we obtain the optimum generalized oscillator representation for $\hat{H}_{2,\nu}$, $-\pi/2<\nu<0$, bounded from below, but not nonnegative.

6.2.4 $\alpha=-1/4$ ($\varkappa=0$), $\mu=\pi/2$

A reasoning in this subsubsection is completely similar to those in subsubsec. 6.2.1 and subsubsec. 6.2.2.

According to (58) and (60), we have the identities $\overline{\hat{b}}(\pi/2,s)=\hat{a}^{+}(\pi/2,s)$ and $\hat{b}^{+}(\pi/2,s)=\overline{\hat{a}}(\pi/2,s)$, the point $s=0$ included, see subsec. 5.2, so that with taking into account subsubsec. 6.1.3, formula (71), we find

$$\hat{H}_{3,\pm\pi/2}=\overline{\hat{b}}(\pi/2,s)\hat{b}^{+}(\pi/2,s)-(sk_0)^2\hat{I},\forall s\geq 0,\ \varkappa=0,$$

which is another form of the one-parameter family of oscillator representations for $\hat{H}_{3,\pm\pi/2}$ that is a restriction of the known two-parameter-family of oscillator representations (71) to $\mu=\pi/2$. Of course, the comment following (71) holds.

6.2.5 $\alpha=-1/4$ ($\varkappa=0$), $0\leq\mu<\pi/2$

A reasoning in this subsubsection is completely similar to that in subsubsec. 6.2.3 for the case of $0<\varkappa<1$.

We begin with representing the asymptotic behavior of functions $\phi(\mu,s;x)$ (46), $\mu\in[0,\pi/2)$, $s\in(0,\infty)$, $\varkappa=0$, at the origin given by (48) in a new form:

$$\begin{aligned}&\phi(\mu,s;x)=c[\sqrt{k_0x}\sin\theta(\mu,s)+\sqrt{k_0x}\ln(k_0x)\cos\theta(\mu,s)]+O(x^{5/2}\ln x),\\&\tan\theta(\mu,s)=\ln(s/2)-\tan\mu-\psi(1),\ c=-\frac{\cos\mu}{\cos\theta(\mu,s)},\\&\mu\in[0,\pi/2),s\in(0,\infty),\varkappa=0,\ \theta(\mu,s)\in\left(-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

We now determine the asymptotic behavior of functions belonging to the domain $D_{H_{\mathfrak{cb}(\mu,s)}}$ of the operator $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{cb}(\mu,s)}$, $\mu\in[0,\pi/2)$, $s\in(0,\infty)$, $\varkappa=0$, at the origin.

By definition of the operator $\hat{H}_{\mathfrak{cb}(\mu,s)}$, its domain $D_{H_{\mathfrak{cb}(\mu,s)}}$ consists of functions $\chi\in D_{b^{+}(\mu,s)}$ such that $\hat{b}^{+}(\mu,s)\chi=\eta\in D_{\overline{b}(\mu,s)}\subset L^2(\mathbb{R}_{+})$. The first condition implies that χ allows representation (53) with $x_0=0$ and, in general, $D\neq 0$, while the second condition implies that $\eta(x)=O(x^{1/2})$, $x\to 0$, see (61), (51). Estimating the integral term in (53) with such η, we obtain that the asymptotic behavior of functions $\chi\in D_{H_{\mathfrak{cb}(\mu,s)}}$, $\mu\in[0,\pi/2)$, $s\in(0,\infty)$, $\varkappa\in(0,1)$, at the origin is given by

$$\chi(x)=C[(k_0x)^{1/2}\sin\theta(\mu,s)+(k_0x)^{1/2}\ln(k_0x)\cos\theta(\mu,s)]+O(x^{3/2}),\ x\to 0. \qquad (77)$$

According to [5], for $\alpha = -1/4\,(\varkappa = 0)$, there is a one-parameter family of s.a. Calogero Hamiltonians with such asymptotic behavior of functions belonging to their domains, namely , the family $\{\hat{H}_{3,\nu},\ \nu \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)\}$. The parameter ν is naturally identified with the angle $\theta(\mu, s)$ in (77), and we establish that

$$\begin{gathered}\hat{H}_{3,\nu} = \bar{\hat{b}}(\mu, s)\hat{b}^{+}(\mu, s) - (sk_0)^2,\ \nu = .\theta(\mu, s), \\ \nu \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2),\ \mu \in [0, \pi/2),\ s \in (0, \infty),\ \varkappa = 0,\end{gathered} \tag{78}$$

which represents a one-parameter family of generalized oscillator representations for $\hat{H}_{3,\nu}$ with $\alpha = -1/4\,(\varkappa = 0)$ and $\nu \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$.

It is convenient to take μ as the independent parameter, then s is easily determined from the relation $\tan\vartheta(\mu, s) = \tan\nu$ to yield

$$s = s(\mu, \nu) = 2e^{\tan\nu + \tan\mu + \psi(1)}. \tag{79}$$

For fixed ν, the function $s(\mu, \nu)$ (79) is monotonically increasing from $s_{\min}(\nu)$ to ∞ as μ ranges from 0 to $\pi/2 - 0$, where

$$s_{\min}(\nu) = s(0, \nu) = 2e^{\tan\nu + \psi(1)}.$$

It is evident that the spectrum of $\hat{H}_{3,\nu}$ is bounded from below by

$$-(s_{\min}(\nu)k_0)^2 = -4{k_0}^2 e^{2(\tan\nu + \psi(1))} = E_3(\nu).$$

Because $\ker\hat{b}^{+}(0, s_{\min}(\nu)) = \{cK_0(s_{\min}(\nu)k_0x)\} \neq \{0\}$, whereas $\ker\hat{b}^{+}(\mu, s(\mu, \nu)) = \{0\}$, $0 < \mu < \pi/2$, this boundary is an exact lower boundary of the spectrum of $\hat{H}_{3,\nu}$, $E_3(\nu)$ is an eigenvalue of $\hat{H}_{3,\nu}$, the energy of its negative ground level, and the normalized eigenfunction of the ground state is given by

$$U_3(\nu, x) = \sqrt{2|E_3\,(\nu)\,|}\,x^{1/2}K_0(|E_3\,(\nu)\,|^{1/2}x).$$

According to [5], the spectrum of $\hat{H}_{3,\nu}$ is given by $\mathrm{Spec}\hat{H}_{3,\nu} = \{E_3(\nu)\} \cup [0, \infty)$, and the semiaxis $[0, \infty)$ is a continuous part of the spectrum.

Setting $\mu = 0$, $s = s_{\min}(\nu)$, in (78), we obtain the optimum generalized oscillator representation for $\hat{H}_{3,\nu}$, $\nu \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, bounded from below, but not nonnegative.

Acknowledgement 1 *I.T. thanks RFBR Grand 11-01-00830 and B.V. thanks RFBR Grand 11-02-00685 for partial support.*

References

[1] Akhiezer N.I. and Glazman I.M. 1981 *Theory of Linear Operators in Hilbert Space* (Boston, MA: Pitman)

[2] Gitman D.M., Tyutin I.V. and Voronov B.L. 2011 *Oscillator representations for self-adjoint Calogero Hamiltonians*, J.Phys. A: Math.Theor. **44** 425204, arXiv:0907.1736 [quant-ph]

[3] Gradstein I.S. and Ryzhik I.W. 1994 *Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products* (New York: Academic Press)

[4] von Neumann J. 1950 *Functional Operators: The Geometry of Orthogonal Spaces*, vol 2 (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press)

[5] Gitman D.M., Tyutin I.V. and Voronov B.L. 2012 *Self-adjoint Extensions in Quantum Mechanics* (New York: Springer Science+Business Media)

[6] Gitman D.M., Tyutin I.V. and Voronov B.L. 2010 *Self-adjoint extensions and spectral analysis in Calogero problem*,J.Phys. A: Math.Theor. **43** 145205

[7] Naimark M.A. 1969 *Theory of Linear Differential Operators* (Moscow: Nauka) (in Russian)

[8] Voronov B.L., Gitman D.M. and Tyutin I.V. 2006 *Self-adjoint operators associated with self-adjoint differential expressions* (arXiv: quant-ph/0603187)

[9] Voronov B.L., Gitman D.M. and Tyutin I.V. 2007 *Constucting quantum observables and self-adjoint extensions of symmetric operators. II. Differential operators*, Russian Phys. J. **50** 9 (2007) 853